



# Assignment 3

## Traceability and Requirements Evolution

*Prof. Dr. Martin Glinz*

*Dr. Samuel Fricker*

*Cédric Jeanneret*

### I. Tasks

- Read the mandatory items in the reading list
- Be prepared to answer the questions given below in class
- Prepare a 15 minutes presentation (5-10 slides) on the theme assigned to your course group. Browse/read additional papers and/or web pages where necessary.
- Generate a requirements-to-stakeholders traceability matrix for the requirements document that you produced in exercise 2 of Requirements Engineering I.

### II. Reading List

#### **Mandatory reading**

Traceability was first treated in [Gotel 1994]. [Jarke 1998] and [Dick 2005] further motivate and introduce traceability, while [Ramesh 2001] establishes reference models for it.

#### **Theme-specific reading**

[Egyed 2002], [Ben Charrada 2010]: Traceability and Software Execution.  
[Hayes 2006], [Cleland-Huang 2007]: Traceability and Information Retrieval.  
[von Knethen 2003], [Jönsson 2005]: Impact Analysis with Traceability.

### III. Questions

- What is requirements traceability?
- What is the benefit of requirements traceability and what does it cost?
- How can one establish and maintain traces?
- Did the understanding of traceability evolve over time?
- What is the role of tools?

## IV. Themes for Presentation

Themes will be assigned by the assistant who tutors this course; your group can apply for a theme.

### A. Traceability and Software Execution

How can traceability links be generated by observing the execution of software? How can high-level tests be used to propagate changes in source code to requirements?

### B. Traceability and Information Retrieval

How can traceability links be generated with information retrieval techniques? Can and should humans be replaced for defining traceability?

### C. Impact Analysis with Traceability

What is post-requirements traceability used for? How can and should such information be maintained?

## References

Ben Charrada, E., M. Glinz (2010). An automated hint generation approach for supporting the evolution of requirements specifications. *Joint ERCIM Workshop on Software Evolution and International Workshop on Principles of Software Evolution (IWPSE-EVOL '10)*, Antwerp, Belgium. 58-62.

Cleland-Huang, J., R. Settmi, E. Romanova, B. Berenbach, S. Clark (2007). Best Practices for Automated Traceability. *IEEE Computer* **40**, 6 (Jun. 2007). 27-35.

Dick, J. (2005). Design traceability. *IEEE Software* **22**, 6 (Nov. 2005). 14-16.

Egyed, A., P. Grünbacher (2002). Automating requirements traceability: Beyond the record replay paradigm. *17<sup>th</sup> IEEE International Conference on Automated Software Engineering (ASE 2002)*, Edinburgh, UK. 163-171.

Gotel, O., A. Finkelstein (1994). An Analysis of the Requirements Traceability Problem. *1st International Conference on Requirements Engineering*, Colorado Springs. 94-101.

Hayes, J. H., A. Dekhtyar, S. K. Sundaram (2006). Advancing Candidate Link Generation for Requirements Tracing: The Study of Methods. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering* **32**, 1 (Jan. 2006). 4-19.

Jarke, M (1998). Requirements Traceability. *Communications of the ACM* **41**, 12 (Dec. 1998). 32-36.

Jönsson, P., M. Lindvall (2005). Impact Analysis. In Aurum, A., C. Wohlin. *Engineering and Managing Software Requirements*. Springer. 117-142.

Von Knethen, A., M. Grund (2003). QuaTrace: A Tool Environment for (Semi-)Automatic Impact Analysis Based on Traces. *International Conference on Software Maintenance*, 2003. 246-255.

Ramesh, B., M. Jarke (2001). Toward Reference Models for Requirements Traceability. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering* **27**, 1 (Jan. 2001). 58-92.